

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/085,783 | Applicant(s) LIEW ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Juliet C. Switzer | Art Unit 1634 | |

All Participants:

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(2) Amy DeCloux.

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 February 2008

Time: afternoon

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

80-102

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance was agreed upon. Also on 4/21/08 a followup interview was held to discuss rejoinder of non-elected combinations of the allowed methods. .

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)